

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/071,604	WHITE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** RCE

 (1) Joseph D. Torres.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Richard C. Himelhoch.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 August 2005
**Time:** 08/01/2005
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *N/A*.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*N/A*

Claims discussed:  
*N/A*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*N/A*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A telephone call was made to Richard C. Himelhoch on 8/29/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Left message.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOSEPH TORRES  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)